THE

MYSTERIES

OF

The Christian Faith

ASSERTED and VINDICATED;

INA

SERMON

Preached at

S. Laurence-Jewry in London,

By the Right Reverend Father in GOD, EDWARD Lord Bishop of Worcester.

LONDON,

Printed by J. H. for Henry Mortlock, at the Phanix in St. Paul's Church-Yard. 1691.

MYSIERIES

OF RIVERSE

OF RIVE

SERMON

S. Laurence-Text v at Landon.

he de lughe Bevered Eman in GOD.

Protect by L. H. for Havy Morker with the Physics in St. Paul's Clauch Yard 1991.

li,

is could not be a faithful for

11

SERMON

Preached at

S. Laurence-Jewey

APRIL the 7th. 1691.

deckt to Milators, 18 ho was before

This is a faithfull faying and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the World to save Sinders, of whom I am chief.

These Words were to be understood without any/Restriction of Limitation that Christ Jesus overthrough the World to save somers, they would overthrough the great Design of the Gospel, and make its Excellent Precepts Heless and Inestectual. For, to what purpose should men be put upon the severe Bractile of Repentance, Mortification and a continued Gourse of artholy Life, if the meer being Sinners did sufficiently qualific them for Salyation? This indeed would be thought a Doctrine worthy of all Acceptation by the greatest Sumers; but

it could not be a faithfull faying, being not agreeable either to the Nature of God, or Revelation of his Will by Christ Jesus. But S. Paul speaks of such Sinners as humfelf had been; i.e. fuch as had been great Sinners, but had truely and fincerely repented. Of whom I am chief. What then? Must we look on him as the Standard and Measure of such Sinners whom Christ Jesus came to lave? What will then become of all those who have been Sinners of a higher Rank than ever he was? It's true in the Verles before the Text, he fets out his Sins, as a humble Penitent is wont to do, with the worst Ver. 13. Colours and deepest Aggravations, Who was before a blasphemer and a persecutor, and injurious; but yet he adds, that he obtained Mercy because he did it ignorantly, in unbelief. How then is S. Paul the Chief of Sinners? Are Sins of Ignorance and Mistake the greatest of Sins, for which Christ died? Is there no Expiation for any other by Jesus Christ? What will become then of all fuch who fin against Know. ledge and Conscience, and not in Ignorance and Unbelief? Can none of these hope for Mercy by Christ Jesus, although they do truely Repent? But the 1 Joh. 1. 7. Blood of Christ is said elsewhere to cleanse us from all Sin; not, while we continue in them, but if we to be a Propitiation for our Sins; and not for ours on-

Cha. v.a. repent and forfake them. And Jesus Christ is said ly, but for the Sins of the whole World. And there-

fore

for

mi

not

Sin

we

yet

and

Jefi

we

cul fus

Chi Suj

our

Sim

No

for

lier

Di

and

M

for

fore this Expression of S. Paul notes his great Humility and deep Sense of his own Sins; but doth not exclude others from the hopes of Pardon whose Sins have other Aggravations than his had. For, if we leave out the last words as peculiar to his Case, yet the other contain in them a true Proposition and of the greatest Importance to Mankind; This is a faithfull saying and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Tesus came into the World to save sinners.

This, you may fay, is a matter out of all doubt among all such who hope for Salvation by Christ Jesus; for all are agreed, that one way or other we are to be faved by him. But there is great Difficulty as to the Way of faving somers by Christ Jelus; whether by the Doctrine and Example of the Man Christ Tesus, by the Power he attained through his Sufferings; Or, by the Eternal Son of God's affuming our Nature, and suffering in our stead in order to the Reconciling God to us and making a Propitiation for our Sins. These are two very different Hypotheses or Notions of Christ's coming to fave Sinners; and the former feems more Easie to be understood and believed; and the other feems to have Insuperable Difficulties in point of Reason; and to run our Religion into Mysteries, which expose our Faith and make Christianity appear Contemptible to Men of Sense and Understanding. Is it not therefore much better to embrace such a Scheme of it,

as will have the least Objection against it, that so Men of Reason may not be tempted to Infidelity, and Men of Superstition may not under the Colour of Mysteries bring in the most Absurd and Unreasonable Doctrines?

Thefe are plaufible Infinuations, and would be apt to prevail on considering Mens minds, if they were to form and make a Religion that might be most accommodated to the Genius and Humour of the Age they live in. And truely no Men (by their own Authority) can pretend to a Right to impole on others any Mysteries of Faith, or any such things which rare above their Capacity to under fland. But that is not our Cafe; for we all profels to believe and receive Christianity as a. Done Revelation; and God (we fay) may require from us the belief of what weithay not he able to got prehend, especially if it relates to Himself, or such things which are Confequent upon the Union of the Divine and Human Nature is Therefore non bufines: is conconsider, whether any Juth whings be contained in that Revelation which we allown; and of they be, we rare bound at believe them, although werere mortable to comprehend chem.

These Words, by which we may lexaminate hele different Hypotheses concerning the heavy of Salvation by Hesus Christ.

I. It

sion

com

conc

natio

af C

full |

ceive

first

were be a

of E

Affi

full

Tefte

of a

rent:

are i

oncerning our Salvation by Christ.

II. It is worthy of all Acceptation; i. e. most use-full and beneficial to Mankind.

Now by these two I shall proceed in the Examination of them.

- I. Which is most agreeable to the Revealed
 - II. Which doth offer fairest for the Benefit and Advantage of Mankind.

I Which is most agreeable to the Revealed Will of God. For that we are sure is the most faithfull saying; since Men of Wit and Reason may decive us, but God cannot. When the Apostles full preached this Doctrine to the World, they were not bound to believe what they affirmed to be a faithfull saying till they gave sufficient Evidence of their Authority from God, by the wonderfull Assistance of the Holy Ghost. But now this faithfull saying is contained in the Books of the New Testament, by which we are to judge of the Truth of all Christian Doctrines. And when two different Senses of Places of Scripture are offer'd, we are to consider, which is most Reasonable to be preferred. And herein we are allowed to Exercise

our Reason as much as we please; and the more we do so, the sooner we shall come to Satisfaction in this matter.

Now according to Reason we may judge that

Sense to be preferr'd, Dan Met lin

(1.) Which is most plain and easie and agree able to the most received Sense of Words; not that which is forced and intricate, or which puts improper and metaphorical Senses upon Words which are commonly taken in other Senses; especially when it is no Sacramental thing, which in its own

Nature is Figurative

(2.) That which suits most with the Scope and Design not only of the particular Places, but of the whole New Testament; which is, to magnific God and to depress Man; to set forth, the Insinite Love and Condescension of God in giving his son to be a Propitiation for our Sins; to set up the Worthip of one true God in Opposition to Creatures; to Represent and Declare the mighty Advantages Mankind receive by the Sufferings of Christ Jesus.

(3.) That which hath been generally received in the Christian Church to be the Sense of those places. For, we are certain, this was always looked on as a matter of great Concernment to all Christians; and they had as great Capacity of understanding the Sense of the Apostles; and the Primitive Church had greater Helps for knowing it

than

w

m

me

nif

than others at so much greater Distance. And therefore the Sense is not to be taken from modern Inventions, or Criticisms, or pretences to Revelation; but that which was at first deliver'd to the Christian Church and hath been since received and embraced by it in the several Ages; and hath been most strenuously asserted, when it hath met with Opposition, as founded on Scripture and the general Consent of the Christian Church.

(4.) That which best agrees with the Characters of those Persons from whom we receive the Christian Faith; and those are Christ Jesus and his holy Apostles.— For, if their Authority be lost, our Religion is gone; and their Authority depends upon their Sincerity and Faithfulness, and Care to inform the World aright in matters of so great Impor-

tance.

n

ľ

x ts

y

ic

ce

t-

S

d

d.

ri-

i.

it

an

(1.) I begin with the Character which the Apositles give of Christ Jesus himself; which is, that he was a Person of the greatest Humility and Condescension, that he did not assume to himself that which he might justly have done. For let the Words of S. Paul be understood either as to the Nature, or Dignity of Christ, it is certain that they must imply thus much, that when Christ Jesus was here on Earth, he was not of a vain assuming humour, that he did not boast of himself, nor magnific his own Greatness, but was contented to be

look'd on as other Men; although he had at that time far greater and Diviner Excellency in him than the World would believe. Less than this, cannot be made of those Words of the Apostle,

Phil. 2.6,7. Who being in the form of God, he thought it not robbery to be equal with God, but made himself of no Reputation and took upon him the form of a Servant.

Now this being the Character given of him let us consider what he doth affirm concerning himself. For although he was far from drawing the People after him, by setting forth his own Perfections; yet upon just Occasions, when the Jews contested with him, he did Affert such things, which must savour of Vanity and Ostentation, or else must imply that he was the Eternal Son of God. For, all Mankind are agreed that the highest degree of Ambition lies in Affecting Divine Honour, or for a meer Man to be thought a God. How severely did God punish Herod for being pleased with the Peoples folly in crying out, the Voice of God and not of Man? And therefore he could ne

g

Pi de

ny

to

an

he

Ten

Ma

this

AR. 12.22 God and not of Man? And therefore he could never have born with such positive Assertions and such repeated Defences of his being the Son of God in such a manner as implied his being so from Eternity. This in his Disputes with the Jews he

Joh. 6. 32, Affirms several times, that he came down from Hea-

38. 50. as appears by those words, What and if ye shall fee

aat

m

is,

e,

ob-

Re-

let

m-

he

er-

105

ich

lfe

od.

de-

ur,

w

fed

ne-

nd

God

M

he

lea-

ſe,

fee

the

the Son of Man ascend up where he was before? In another Conference he afferted, that be was before Joh. 8. 58. Abrabam. Which the Jews so literally understood, that without a Metaphor they went about to stone him; little imagining that by Abraham the calling of the Gentiles was to be understood. But above all, is that Expression which he used to the Jews at another Conference, I and my Father are one; which Joh 10.10. they understood in such a manner that immediately they took up stones to have stoned him. What v. 31. means all this Rage of the Jews against him? What? for faying that be had Unity of Consent with his Father? No certainly. But the Jews misunderstood him. Let us suppose it; would not our Saviour have immediately explained himself to prevent so dangerous a Misconstruction? But he asked them, what it was they stoned him for? They answer him directly and plainly, because that then being a v. 32, 33. man makest thy self God. This was home to the And here was the time for him to have purpose. denied it, if it had not been foo! But doth he demy it? Doth he say, it would be Blasphenry in him to own it? No; but he goes about to defend it; and proves it to be no Blasphemy for him to say that v. 36. he was the Son of God; i.e. so as to be God, as the Jews understood it. Can we imagin that a meet Man knowing himself to be such, should Assume this to himself; and yet God to bear witness to

him not only by Miracles but by a Voice from Hea-Matt.3.17. Ven, wherein he was called his beloved Son in whom he was well pleased? Could God be pleased with a mortal, finite, despicable Creature, as the Jews thought him, that Assumed to himself to be God and maintained and defended it among his own People, in a folemn Conference at a very Publick Place in one of the Portico's of the Temple? And this he perfifted in to the last. For, when the Matt 26. High Priest adjured him by the living God to tell, whe-63. ther he were the Christ the Son of God, (for he, no doubt, had heard of the Refult of this Conference
v. 64 in Solomon's Porch) Jesus said unto him, Thou hast
Mark 14 said. S. Mark, more expressly, Jesus said I am. And
this was the Blasphemy, for which they put him to v. 64 death; as appears by the Evangelists. So that this Matt. 26. ought to be a Dispute only between Jews and Chriflians; fince it was the very point, for which they condemned him to death. And in his last most divine Prayer just before his Suffering, he owns Luk 22. the Glory which he had with the Father before the Joh. 17.5. World had a being. And now, O Father, glorifie thou me with the glory which I had with thee, before the World was. Was this nothing but the Glory which God had defigned to give him? This is fo far from being peculiar to Christ, that it is common to all whom God designs to glorifie; and takes a way the distinction between the Decree and the Execution of it.

(2.) As

Tea-

bom

h a

ews

God

wn

lick

Ind

the

obe-

no

nce

raft

nd

to

his

ri-

lev

oft

ns

he

ifie

the

ich

on

re-

As

(2.) As to the Apostles, the Reason we believe their Testimony is, that they were Men of great Sincerity and Plainness, and of great Zeal for the Honour and Glory of God. And according to this Character, let us examin what they say concerning Christ Jesus.

He that was most conversant with him, and beloved by him, and lived to see his Divinity contested by some, and denied by others, is most ample in setting it forth in his Admirable, Sublime, and Divine Introduction to his Gospel. Which all John 1.1,2, the Wit of Mankind can never make tolerable Sense of, if they deny Christ's being the Eternal Son of God; and it is he, that hath preserved those Conferences with the Jews, wherein he afferts his own Divinity.

S. Paul was a Stranger to him while he lived; but at the same time when he was so zealous to perswade the Gentiles to the Worship of God and not of Creatures, he calls him God, over all blessed for Rom. 9.5. evermore. And when he saith, that the Eternal Power and Godhead are known by the Creation of the Rom. 1.20. World, he attributes the Creation of all things to Christ, Col. 1.16. applying to him those words of the Psalmist, Thou Heb. 1.2. Lord in the beginning hast laid the Foundation of the Earth and the Heaven, the Work of thy hands. Which cannot be understood of any Metaphorical Creation.

And.

And after the strictest Examination of Copies, those will be found the best, which have that Reading on which our Translation is grounded.

liness, God was manifest in the Flesh. So that Godsbeing manifest in the Flesh is made a great Part of

the Mystery of Christianity. But here arises a Difficulty, which deserves to be confider'd; i.e. " If there were nothing in the " Christian Doctrine, but the Way of Saving fin-" ners by the Doctrine and Example of Christ, " there would be little Objection to be made to " it; fince the obtaining Eternal Life is certainly " the best thing can be proposed to Mankind, and " the Precepts of Christ are Divine and Spiritual, " Plain and Easie to be Understood, and Agree-" able to the Reason of Mankind; but many " other things are imposed on Men as necessary " to be believed concerning Christ Jesus, as to his "Divinity, Incarnation, and the Hypostatical Union of " both Natures, which perplex and confound our " Understandings; and yet these things are not " only deliver'd as Mysteries of the Christian Faith; " but the Belief of them is required as necessary to "the Salvation of Sinners; whereas, if they are "Revealed they are no longer Mysteries; and if they " are not Revealed, how come they to be made " Articles of Faith? The Scripture knows of no other

ies,

hat

ed.

od.

ds.

of

be

he

m-

ł,

to

ly

nd

ıl,

e.

ıy

y

115

of

11

ot

;

0

e

Ô

other Mysteries of Faith but such as were hidden before the Revelation of them, but fince they are "Revealed they are plain and open to all mens " Capacities; and therefore it is a great Injury to " the Plainness and Simplicity of the Gospel to im-" pose such incomprehensible Mysteries, as Neces-" fary Articles of Faith; and it is Abusing the Cre-"dulity of Mankind, to make fuch things necesfary to be believed, which are impossible to be " understood. But those who have ever loved to Deceive and Abuse the rest of the World, have been always fond of the Name of Mysteries; " and therefore all fuch things are to be suspected, "which come under that Name. For, all fuch "Points which will not bear Examination, must be wrapt up and Reverenced under the Name of " Mysteries, that is, of things to be swallow'd with-

" out being understood. But the Scripture never Discourse of " calls that a Mystery which is Incomprehensible in it Mystery,

" felf, though never so much revealed.

This is the main force of the Objection, which

I shall endeavour to remove by shewing,

(1.) That God may justly require from us in general, the Belief of what we cannot

comprehend.

(2.) That which way foever the Way of Salvation by Christ be explained, there will be something of that Nature found in it; and

and that those who reject the Mysteries of Faith run into greater Difficulties than those who affert them.

(3.) That no more is required as a Necessary Article of Faith than what is plainly and

clearly Revealed.

(1.) That God may justly require from us in general, the Belief of what we cannot comprehend. It is to very little purpose to enquire whether the Word Mystery in Scripture be applied to such parti-cular Doctrines, whose Substance is Revealed, but the manner of them is incomprehensible by us; for why may not we make use of such a Word whereby to express things truely revealed, but a bove our Comprehension? We are certain the Word Mystery is used for things far less difficult and abstruse; and why may it not then be fitly. applied to fuch matters, which are founded on Divine Revelation, but yet are too deep for us to go to the bottom of them? Are there not Mysteries in Arts, Mysteries in Nature, Mysteries in Providence? And what Absurdity is there to call those Mysteries, which in some Measure are known, but in much greater unknown to us? Although therefore in the Language of Scripture it be granted, that the word Mystery is most frequently applied, to things before hidden, but now revealed, yet there is no Incongruity in calling that a Mystery, which being revealed,

of

an

ry

In

id.

he

ti-

out is;

rd

2.

he

ult

dy.

)į.

go in

e?

ies,

ıch

in

the

ngs In-

ing ed, revealed, hath yet fomething in it which our understandings cannot reach to. But it is meer Cavilling to infift on a Word, if the Thing it felf be granted. The chief thing therefore to be done is, to shew that God may require from us the belief of fuch things which are incomprehenfible by us. For, God may require any thing from us, which it is reasonable for us to do; if it be then reasonable for us to give Affent where the manner of what God hath revealed is not comprehended, then God may certainly require it from us. Hath not God revealed to us that in fix days he made Heaven and Earth and all that is therein? But is it not reasonable for us to believe this, unless we are able to comprehend the manner of God's production of things? Here we have something revealed and that plainly enough, viz. that God created all things, and yet, here is a Mystery remaining as to the manner of doing it. Hath not God plainly revealed that there shall be a Resurrection of the dead? and must we think it unreasonable to believe it, till we are able to comprehend all the changes of the Particles of Matter from the Creation to the General Refurrection? But it is said that there is no Contradiction in this, but there is in the Mystery of the Trinity and Incarnation. It is strange Boldness in Men to talk offeration thus of Monstrous Contradictions in things above their Wallis his Reach. The Atheists may as well say, Infinite Power four Let-

i

is a Monstrous Contradiction; and God's Immensity and his other unsearchable Perfections are Monstrous Paradoxes and Contradictions. Will Men never learn to distinguish between Numbers and the Nature of Things? For three to be one is a Contradiction in Numbers; but whether an Infinite Nature can communicate it self to three different Subsistences without such a Division as is among Created Beings, must not be determined by bare Numbers, but by the Absolute Perfections of the Divine Nature; which must be owned to be above our Comprehension.

For let us examin some of those Perfections which

are most clearly revealed and we shall find this true. The Scripture plainly reveals, that God is Pfal. 90. 2 from everlasting to everlasting; that he was and is and Revel. 1.4. is to come; but shall we not believe the Truth of

this till we are able to fathorn the Abys of God's

Bissorbild. Eternity? I am apt to think (and I have some thoughtfull Men concurring with me) that there is

Prior de no greater Difficulty in the Conception of the TriTrinit. 1.3. nity and Incarnation, than there is of Eternity. Not,
but that there is great Reason to believe it; but
from hence it appears that our Reason may oblige
us to believe some things which it is not possible
for us to comprehend. We know that either God
must have been for ever, or it is impossible he
ever should be; for if he should come into Being

when he was not, he must have some Cause of his Being;

n

f

ŧ

Being; and that which was the first Cause would be God. But, if he was for ever he must be from himself; and what Notion or Conception can we have in our Minds concerning it? And yet, Atheifical men can take no Advantage from hence; because their own most absurd Hypothesis hath the very same Difficulty in it. For something must have been for ever. And it is far more Reasonable to suppose it of an Infinite and Eternal Mind, which hath Wildom and Power and Goodness to give Being to other things, than of dull, stupid and sensless Matter, which could never move it self, nor give Being to any thing besides. Here we have therefore a thing which must be owned by all; and yet such a thing which can be conceived by none. Which shews the narrowness and shortnels of our Understandings, and how unfit they are to be the Measures of the Possibilities of things. Vain men would be wife; they would fain go to the very bottom of things, when alas! they scarce understand the very Surface of them. They will allow no Mysteries in Religion; and yet every thing is a Mystery to them. They cry out of Cheats and Impostures under the Notion of Mysteries; and yet there is not a Spire of Grass but is a Mystery to them; they will bear with nothing in Religion which they cannot comprehend, and yet there is scarce any thing in the World which they can comprehend.

hend. But above other things the Divine Perfetti. ons, even those which are most Absolute and Neces. fary are above their Reach. For let such Men try their Imaginations about God's Eternity, not meerly how he should be from himself; but how God should coexist with all the Differences of Times and yet there be no Succession in his own Being. I do not fay there is fuch Difficulty to conceive a Rock standing still when the Waves run by it; or the Gramon of a Dial when the Shadow passes from one Figure to another; because these are gross unactive things; but the Difficulty is far greater where the Being is Perfect and always Active. For, where there is Succession there is a passing out of not being in such a duration into being in it; which is not confiftent with the Absolute Perfection of the Divine Nature. And therefore God must be all at once what he is, without any Respect to the Difference of Time past, present or to come. From De Confol. whence Eternity was defined by Boethius to be a perfect and complete Possession all at once of everlasting Life. But how can we form any Conception in our Minds of that being all at once, which hath fuch different Acts as must be measur'd by a long Succession of Time? As, the Creating and Dissol-

ving the Frame of the World; the Promising and Sending the Messias; the Declaring and Executing

a general Judgment; how can these things be con-

fiftent with a Permanent Instant, or a Continuance of being without Succession? For, it is impossible for us in this Case, as to God's Eternity, to form a clear and diffinct Idea in our Mind, of that which both Reason and Revelation convince us must be. The most we can make of our Conception of it is, that God hath neither Beginning of Being, nor End of Days; but that he always was and always must be. And this is rather a necellary Conclusion from Reason and Scripture, than any distinct Notion or Conception of Eternity in our Minds. From whence it evidently follows, that God may reveal formething to us, which we are bound to believe, and yet after that Revelation the Manner of it may be incomprehenfible by us, and consequently a Mystery to us.

Hath not God Revealed to us in Scripture the Spirituality of his own Nature? That he is a Spirit and therefore will be worshipp'd in Spirit and in SJoh.4.24.

Truth; For, that is a true Reason why Spiritual Worship should be most agreeable to him. Now, if we could have a clear, distinct positive Notion in our minds of God's Spiritual Nature, we might then pretend that there is nothing mysterious in this,

fince it is revealed.

ti-

ef.

ry

ly

d

es

g.

a

10

n

1-

re

e

ę.

15

e

e

n

4

g

But let such men Examin their own thoughts about this matter; and try, whether the utmost they can attain to, be not something Negative, viz.

because great Absurdities would follow if we attributed any thing Corporeal to God; for, then he must be compounded of Parts, and so he may be dissolved; then he must be confined to a certain place, and not every-where present; he cannot have the Power of Acting and Self-Determining which a a meer Body hath not. For the clearest Notion we can have of Body, is, that it is made up of some things as parts of it, which may be separated from each other, and is confined to a certain place, and hath no Power to Move or Act from it lelf. But fome of these men who cry down Mysteries and magnifie Reason, to shew how slender their pretences to Reason are, have afferted a Corporeal God, with Shape and Figure. It was indeed, well thought of by those who would make a Man to be God, to bring God down as near to Man as might But how to Reconcile the Notion of a Body with Infinite Perfections, is a Mystery to me, and far above my Comprehension. But if it be no Myste. ry to fuch Men, they must either deny God's Infinite Perfections or shew how a bodily Shape can But some men can confound be capable of them. Finite and Infinite, Body and Spirit, God and Man, and yet are for no Mysteries; whereas these things are farther from our Reach and Comprehension, than any of those Doctrines which they find fault with. But to proceed.

ttri.

aust

Tol.

ace, the

1 2

ion

me

om

and

But

and

pre-

real well

be

ight

ody

far

yfte-

nfi-

can

und lan,

ings

on, ault

lf

If we believe Prophesie, we must believe Gods fore-knowledge of future Events: For, how could they be fore-told if he did not fore-know them? And if he did fore-know those which he did foretell, then it was either because those only were rerealed to him which is inconsistent with the Divine Perfections; or that he doth fore-know all other Events and only thought fitting to Reveal these: But how can they Solve the Difficulties about Divine Prescience? Is there no Mystery in this? Nothing above their Comprehension? What then made their great Mafter deny it, as a thing above secin Prat. his Comprehension? Because nothing can be foreknown but what hath a certain Caule, and there. fore, if evil Actions be fore-told God must be the Cause of them, and Men will not be free Agents in them. And yet it is most certain, that the Sufferings of Christ by the Wickedness of Men, were fore-told. What then? Must we make God the Author of Sin? God forbid. Will the righteous Judge of all the Earth, punish Mankind for his own Acts, which they could not avoid? Then we must yield, that there is fomething in the manner of the Divine Prescience, which is above our Comprehenlion. And the most Searching and Inquisitive Men have been forc'd to yield it at last, as to the Connection between the Certainty of Prescience and the Liberty of human Actions. Is it not then much better

better to sit down quietly at first, Adoring the Infiniteness of God's incomprehensible Perfections, than after all the huffings and disputings of Men to Cajetan in say, In Ignorantia sola quietem invenio, as the great art. 4. Schoolman did? Surely then, here is something plainly revealed, and yet the manner of it is still a

Mystery to us.

I shall not now insist on any more of the particular Attributes of God, but only in general I desire to know, whether they believe them to be finite or infinite? If to be finite, then they must have certain bounds and limits which they cannot exceed; and that must either be from the Imperfection of Nature, or from a Superiour Cause, both which are repugnant to the very Being of God. If they believe them to be Infinite, how can they comprehend them? We are strangely puzzled in plain, ordinary, finite things; but it is madness to pretend to comprehend what is Infinite; and yet if the Persections of God be not Infinite they cannot belong to Him.

I shall only adde, in Consequence to this Assertion, That if nothing is to be believed, but what may be comprehended, the very Being of God must be rejected too. And therefore I desire all such who talk so warmly against any Mysteries in Religion to consider whose Work it is they are doing; even theirs who under this pretence go about to overthrow all

Religion

In-

ons,

1 to

reat

ing

lla

par-

al I

be

nust

not

oer-

ufe,

of

can

led

ness

and

hey

Ter-

be

ect-

alk

nsi-

eirs

all one Religion. A For, say they, Religion is a Mystery in its own Nature; not this or that, or the other Religion; but they are all alike, all is Mystery; and that is but another Name for Fraud and Imposture. What were the Heathen Mysteries but tricks of Priest-Craft; and such are maintained and kept up in all kinds of Religion. If there fore these men, who talk against Mysteries under stand themselves, they must in pursuance of their Principles reject one God, as well as three Persons; For, as long as they believe an Infinite and Incomprehensible Being, it is Nonsense to reject any other Doctrine, which relates to an Infinite. Being, because it is Incomprehensible.

But yet these very Men, who seem to pursue the Consequence of this Principle to the utmost; must affert something more incomprehensible than the Bring of God. For, I appeal to any man of common Understanding, whether it be not more agreeable to Reason to suppose Works of Skill, Beauty and Order to be the Effects of a Wise and Intilligent Being, than of Blind Chance and Unaccountable Necessity; whether it be not more agreeable to the Sense of Mankind to suppose an Institute and Etomal Mind endued with all possible Persections to be the Maker of this visible World; than, that it should start out from it self, without Contrivance, without Order, without Cause? Certainly such

D

men

men have no Reason to find fault with the Mysteries of Religion because they are incomprehensible, since there is nothing so Absurd and Incomprehensible, as their darling Hypothesis; And, there is nothing which can make it prevail, but to suppose Mankind to be as Dull and Insensible as the first Chaos.

Thus I have shewn that it is not unreasonable for God to require from us the Belief of something

which we cannot comprehend.

(2.) I now come to consider, whether those who are so afraid of incomprehensible Mysteries in our Faith, have made it so much more easie in the Way they have taken. And notwithstanding all the Hectoring talk against Mysteries and things incomprehensible in Religion, I find more insuperable Difficulties in point of Reason in their Way than in ours. As for instance,

(1.) It is a more Reasonable thing to suppose something Mysterious in the Eternal Son of God's being with the Father before the World was made by him; (as S. John expresses it in the beginning of his Gospel) than in supposing that although John the Baptist were born hix Months before Jesus Christ; that yet Christ was in Dignity before him. What a wonderfull Mystery is this? Can Men have the Face to cry down Mysteries in deep Speculations, and matters of a high and abstruse Nature, when they make such Mysteries of plain and easie things?

r.

G

G

a

ne

W

And suppose the Evangelist in prosound Language and losty Expressions to prove a thing, which was never disputed, viz. that although Christ Jesus were born six Months after John, yet he was in Dignity before him? Yet this was a Mystery, which, as I remember, Faustus Socious glories in that his Uncle

Lalius obtained by Revelation.

(2.) It is a more Reasonable thing to suppose that a Divine Person should assume human Nature, and so the Word to be made Flesh; than to say, that an Attribute of God, his Wisdom or Power is made Flesh, which is a Missery beyond all Comprehension; There may be some Difficulties in our Conception of the other, but this is a thing beyond all Conception or Imagination; For an Accident to be made a Substance is as absurd, as to imagin it to substitute one.

(3.) It is more Reasonable to suppose that the Son of God should come down from Heaven and take our Nature upon him, than that a man should be rapt up into Heaven, that it might be said that he came down from thence. For in the former Supposition we have many other places of Scripture to support it, which speak of his being with God, and having Glory with him before the World was; whereas there is nothing for the other, but only that it is

necessary to make some tolerable Sense of those words.

1

10

(4.) It is more Reasonable to believe that God should become Man by taking our Nature upon him, than that Man should become God. For in the former, there is nothing but the Difficulty of conceiving the Manner of the Union, which we all grant to be so between Soul and Body; but in the other there is a Repugnancy in the very Conception of a Druted God, of an Exernal Son of Adam, of Omnipotent Infirmity, of an Definite finite Being. In the former Cafe, an Infinite is united to a Finite; but

in the other a Finite becomes Infinite.

(5.) It is more Reafonable to believe that Chriff Jefus Thould fuffer as he did for our fakes than for his own. We are all agreed that the Sufferings of Christ were far beyond any thing he deferved at God's hands; but what Account then is to be given of them? We fay that he made himself a voluntary Sacrifice for Expiation of the Sins of Markind; and fo there was a great and noble End defigned, and no Injury done to a willing Mind; and the Scripture as plainly expreffes this, as it can do in Words. But others deny this, and make him to fuffer as one wholly Innocent; for what Caule? To make the most innocont Persons as apprehensive of Suffering as the most Guiley; and the most righteous God to put no difference between them, with Respect to Suffering?

(6.) It is more Reasonable to suppose such a Condescension in the Son of God to take upon him the

Form

1

f

e.

1

n

t

es F

s;

y

2

e ·

)

A

n-

ne

m

Form of a Servant for our Advantage; than that a meer Man should be Exalted to the Honour and Worhip which belongs only to God. For, on the one fide, there is nothing but what is agreeable to the Divine Nature, viz. Infinite Love and Condescension and Pity to Mankind; on the other, there is the greatest Defign of Self-Exaltation that ever was in Human Nature, viz. for a meer Man to have the most Effential Attributes and Incommunicable Honour which belongs to God. And whether of these two is more agreeable to the Spirit and Defign of the New Teflament, let any man of understanding judge. For as it is evident, that the great Intention of it is to magnifie the wonderfull Love of God in the fending of his Son; fo it is as plain that one great End of the Cariffian Doctrine was to take Mankind off from giving Divine Worthip to Creatures; and can we then suppose that at the same time it should let up the Worship of a meer Man with all the Hohour and Adoration which belongs to God? This is to me an incomprehensible Mysters indeed, and far beyoud all that is implied in the Mifteries of the Tra my and Incarnation. For it Subverts the very Foundation of the Delign of Christianity as to the Reforming Idolatry then in being; it lays the Poundation for introducing it into the World again; for fince the Diftance between God and his Creatures is taken away, in the matter of Worlling, there is nothing

nothing left but the Declaration of his Will; which doth not exclude more Mediators of Intercession but upon this Ground, that the Mediation of Redemption is the Foundation of that of Intercession. And it is far more easie for us to suppose there may be some things too hard for us to understand in the Mystery of our Redemption by Jesus Christ, than that at the lame time it should be both a Duty and a Sin to worthip any but the true God with proper Divine Worthip. For if it be Idolatry to give it to a Cresture, then it is a great Sin; for so the Scripture still accounts it; but if we are bound to give it to Christ who is but a Creature, then that which in it felf is a Sin, is now become a Necellary Dury; which overthrows the Natural Differences of Good and Evil, and makes Idolatry to be a meer Arbitrary thing. And I take it for granted, that in Matters of Religion, Moral Difficulties are more to be regarded than Intellectual; because Religion was far more designed for a Rule of our Actions, than for the Satisfaction of our Curiofity. And upon due Examination we shall find that there is no such frightfull Appearances of Difficulties in the Mystery of the Incarnation, as there is in giving Divine Worship to a Creatures to noise

And it ought to be observed, that those very Places which are supposed to exclude Christ from be-S.Joh. 17.3. ing the true God; must, if they have any force, ex-1 Cor. 6.6. clude him from Divine Worship. For they are spoken ch

tuc

ion

B

ne

ту

he

tó

ne

22.

till

ift

1

er-

ril,

nd

n,

In-

ed

on

we

ces

ere

la

be-

cx-

cen

of

of God as the Object of our Worship , but if he be not excluded from Divine Worship, then neither is he from being the true God; which they grant he Eutrop p is by Office but not by Nature.

c. Wick

But a God by Office who is not fo by Nature is a new con and incomprehensible Mystery. A Mystery hidden from Racov. Ages and Generations as to the Church of God; but not made known by the Gospel of his Son.

This is fuch a kind of Mystery as the Heathen Priests had, who had Gods many and Lords many, as the Apostle saith, i. e. many by Office although but , Cor. 8.c. one by Nature. But if the Christian Religion had owned one God by Nature and only one by Office, the Heathens had been to blame chiefly in the Number of their Gods by Office, and not in the divine Worhip which they gave to them. But S. Paul blames the Heathers for doing Survice to them which by Na. twe are no Gods; not for doing it without Divine Cal . . Authority, nor for militaking the Person who was God by Office, but in giving Divine Worthip to them who by Nature were no Gods; which he would. never have faid, it by the Christian Doctrine, Divine Worship were to be given to one who was not God by Nature.

But these are indeed incomprehensible Mysteries. how a Man by Nature can be a God really and truely by Office; how the Incommunicable Perfections of the Divine Nature can be communicated

to a Creature; how God should give his Glory to another, and by his own Command require that to be given to a Creature, which himself had absolutely forbidden to be given to any besides himself. It is faid by a famous Jesuit (I will not say how agreeably to their own Doctrines and Practices about sm clee de Divine Worflip) that the Command of God canno

carn. Nat. P. 45.

make him worthy of Divine Worfing, who without fuch a Command is not worthy of it. And it is very abfurd to fay, that he that is unworthy of it without a Command, can become worthy by it; for it makes God to command Divine Honour to be given to one who cannot Nova Mon- deferve it. (For no meer Man can deferve to be made God.) But it is more agreeable to the Divine

Ara, Gc. P. 42.

> Nation and Will not to give his Honour to a Creature. (3.) But after all the Invectives of these Enemies to Misteries, we do not make that which we say is Incomprehensible to be a Necessary Article of Faith as it is Incomprehensible; but we do affert that what is Incomprehenfible as to the Manner, may be a Necessa ry Article as far as it is plainly Revealed. As in the Instances I have already mentioned of the Creation and Refurrection of the Dead; would they in earnest have Men turn Infidels as to these things till they are able to comprehend all the difficulties which relate to them? If not, why should this suggestion be allow'd as to the Mysteries which relate to our Redemption by Jesus Christ? If it be faid, the Case is

y to

to

ofo.

felf.

ow

out

mot

luch

OM-

l to

totes

be

rine

re.

nies

V B

33

IL B

essa.

the

tt ton

neft

are

fate

n be

Re-

e 15

not

not alike for those are clearly Revealed and these are not; this brings it to the true and proper Issue of this matter, and if we do not prove a clear Revelation, we do not assert their being Necessary Articles of Faith; but my present business was only to take off this Objection that the Mysteries were Incomprehensible and therefore not to be received by us.

II. And so I come to the second Way, by which, we are to Examin the several Senses of Christ Jesus coming to save Sinners: Which of them tends more to the Benefit and Advantage of Mankind; or which is more worthy of all Acceptation.

And that will appear by considering these things;

(1.) Which tends most to the raising our Esteem and Love of Christ Jesus.

(2.) Which tends most to the begetting in us a greater Hatred of Sin.

(3.) Which tends most to the strengthening our Hope of Salvation by Jesus Christ.

(1.) As to the raising in us a greater Esteem and Love of Christ. We are certain that the Infinite Love and Condescension of Christ Jesus in undersking such a Work as the saving of Sinners makes most worthy of all Acceptation. Some Men may please themselves in thinking that by taking away all Mysteries they have made their Faith more

E

eafie,

easie, but I am certain they have extremely lessen'd the Argument for our Love, viz. the Apprehensions of the wonderfull Love and Condescension of Christ in coming into the World to save Sinners. And yet this is the great Argument of the New Testament to perswade Mankind to the Love of God and of his Son: God so loved the World that he gave his only he

Job. 3.16. Son: God so loved the World that he gave his only be gotten Son, &c. This is indeed a mighty Argument of Love, if by the only begotten Son be meant the Eternal Son of God, who came down from Heaven, as

but only that God made a meer Man to be his Son, and after he had preached a while here on Earth and was ill used and crucified by his own People, he Exalted him to be God and gave him Divine Artributes and Honours; this were an Argument of great Love to the Person of Christ, but not to the rest of Mankind. But Gods Love in Scripture is magnified with Respect to the World in the sending 1 Joh. 4.9 of his Son. In this was manifested, saith the Apostle,

the Love of God towards us, because that God sent his only begotten Son into the World that we should live through him. Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us and sent his Son to be a Propitistion for our Sins. The great Love we still see is towards us, i. e. towards Mankind, but according to the other Sense it must have been, Herein was the Love of God manifested to his Son, that for his

Sufferings

VO

Sufferings he exalted him above all Creatures. He that spared not his own Son, faith S. Paul, but deliver d Roms. 32. him up for us all. If he were the Eternal Son of God who came to fuffer for us, there is a mighty force and Emphasis in this Expression, and very apt to raile our Admiration and our Love; But what not baring his own Son is there, if nothing were meant but that he deligned by Sufferings to Exalt him? For not Sparing him supposes an Antecedent Relation of the highest Kindness, but the other is only defigning extraordinary Kindness for the sake of his Sufferings. Therefore, the Argument for the Love of God is taken from what his Son was, when he deliver'd him up for us all; he was his own Son; not by Adoption as others are; S. John calls him, his John 1.14. only begotten Son; and God himself, his beloved Son Luk 3.22. in the Voice from Heaven; and this before his Sufferings, immediately after his Baptism, when as yer, there was nothing extraordinary done by him, as to the great Defign of his coming. Which shews, that there was an Antecedent Relation between him and the Father; and that therein the Love of God and of Christ was manifested, that being the only begotten Son of the Father, he should take our Nature upon him and for our fakes do and fuffer what he did. This is indeed an Argument great though to raise our Admiration, to excite our Devotion, to inflame our Affections; but how flat E 2 and

n'd ons rift yet

his be-

ent the

ant ion,

ple,

Att of the

e is

ftle,

God,

pitiaee is

was r his

rings

and low doth it appear, when it comes to no more than this, that there was a Man, whom, after his Sufferings, God raifed from the dead and made him a God by Office? Doth this carry any fuch Argument in it for our Esteem and Love and Devotion to him as the other doth upon the most serious Consideration of it?

(2.) Which tends most to beget in us a greater Hatred of Sin. For that is so contrary to the Way of our Salvation by Jefus Christ, that what tends most to our Hatred of it, must conduce most to our Happiness; and therefore be most worthy of all Acceptation. It is agreed on all hands, that Christ did suffer very much both in his Mind and in his Body. In his Mind, when it is faid, Johns at that he was troubled in Spirit; that he began to be 37, 38. forrowfull and very beary; and soon after, My Soul is exceeding forrowfull, even unto death. S. Luke Mark 14 faith, that he was in an Agony; wherein he not on-Luk 22.44 ly prayed more earnestly, but his sweat was as it were great Drops of Blood falling to the Ground What made this Amazement, and dreadfull Agony in

the mind of the most innocent Person in the World? Was it meerly the Fear of the Pains of Death which he was to undergo? That is impossible, considering the Assurance which he had of fo glorious a Reward fo foon following after; when so many Martyrs endured such exquisite Torments

no

af-

nd

ny

ve

he.

ea-

the

hat

ice.

-10r

ds.

ind

uid,

be

luoi

uke

on-

pere

hat

in

the

s of

im-

had

ter;

ifite

ents

Torments for his fake without any such Disturbance or Consternation. But the Apostles give us another Account of it. S. Peter, faith he, was 1Pet.2.24 to bear our Sins in his own body on the tree; that Christ suffer'd for Sins, the just for the unjust. 3.18. S. Paul, that God made him to be Sin for us who aCor.5.21. knew no Sin, that we might be made the righteoufnes of God in him. Hereby, we understand how so innocent a Person came to suffer; be stood in our Stead; he was made Sin for us; and therefore was to be treated as a Sinner; and to suffer that on our Account, which he could not deferve on his own. If he fuffer d on his own Account, this were the way to fill our Minds with perplexity concerning the Justice of Providence with Respect to his dealings with the most innocent and holy Persons in this World; If he fuffer'd on our Account, then we have the Benefit of his Sufferings, and therein we fee how displeasing to God sin is, when even his 'own Son fuffer'd fo much by taking the guilt of our Sins upon him. And what can tend more to the begetting in us a due hatred of fin, than to confider, what Christ himself suffer'd on the Account of it? What can make us have intore dreadfull thoughts of it, than that the great and mercifull God, when he defigned to Save formers, yet would have his own Son to become a Propitiation for the Sins of Mankind? And unless we allow

low this, we must put force upon the plainest Expressions of Scripture; and make Christ to suffer meerly to shew God's Power over a most innocent Person, and his Will and Pleasure to instict the most severe Punishment without any Respect to Guilt. And surely such a Notion of God, can-

not be worthy of all Acceptation.

of Salvation by Christ Jesus. If we believe that he suffer'd for our Sins, then we have great Reason to hope for the Forgiveness of them; although they have been many and great, if we sincerely Repent; because the most prevailing Argument for Despair will be removed; which is taken from the Justice of God, and his declared Hatred of Sin and Displeasure against Sinners. If God be so much in earnest displeased with the Sins of Mankind, and his Justice be concerned in the Punishment of Sinners, how can they ever hope to escape, unless there be a way for his Displeasure to be removed, and his Justice to be satisfied? And this the Scripture tells us is done by Christ, who died that he might be a Sacrifice of Atonement the same as a Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to Percentile us to God by his Death was Secretary of the basis to the secretary of the basis to the

Rom. 5.10. who died that he might be a Sacrifice of Atonement (Cor. 5.19) to Reconcile us to God by his Death; as S. Paul expressly affirms. And by this means, we may have strong Consolation from the Hopes of Forgiveness of our Sins. Whereas, if this be taken away, either Men must believe that God was not in

earnest

earnest displeased with the Sins of Mankind; which must exceedingly lessen our Esteem of the Holiness and Justice of God; or if he were so displeased, that he laid aside this Displeasure, without any Atonement or Sacrifice of Expiation. And fo, as many as look on God's Justice and Holiness as necessary and essential Attributes of God, will be in danger of finking into the Depths of Despair, as often as they Reflect seriously on the Guilt of their Sins. But on the other side, if we believe that while we were Enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of his Son; then we may have Peace with God through our Lord Jefus Christ; and have Reason to believe that there will be no Condemnation to them that are in Christ Jesus by a lively Faith and fincere Repentance; then they may with Comfort look up to God as a Reconciled Father, through Jesus Christ our Mediator; then they may with inward Satisfaction look beyond the Grave, and stedfastly hope for that Salvation which Christ purchased on Earth and will at last bestow on all fuch as Love and Obey him. To which God of his Infinite Mercy bring us all through Jefus Christ. For, This is a faithfull Saying and worthy of all Acceptation, that he came into the World to fave Sinners.

e

of the riting balta of

Lately Printed for Henry Mortlock at the Phoenix on St. Paul's Church-Yard,

A Sermon Preached before the Queen at White Hall, Febr. 22, 168, upon 1 Pet. 14- verse 18.

White-Hall, March the 234 f162 upon Ecclefatte 11.

Christian Magnanimity: A Sermon Preached in the Cathedral Church at Worcester, at the Time of the Assizes, September 21. 16901 upon a Time to verse y

A Sermon Preached before the Queen at White-Hall, March the 1st. 1600 on Luke 6: 7:46. All Four by the Right Reverend Father in God, Edward Lord Bilhop of Wercester.

The Bishop of Worcester's Charge to the Clergy of his Diocese, in his Primary Visitation, begun a Worcester, Septemb. 11, 1690. Quarto.

Carife purchased on Earth and will at last bestown on all such as Love and Obey him. To which code of his Institute Mercy bring its all through for the Christ. For, This is a faithfull Sering and worthy fall acceptation, that he came into the literal to fare

FINIS.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN THE

at d,

all,

at 11.

4-

is r,

000000

HENRY E. HUNTINGTON LIBRARY

FOR REFERENCE ONLY. NOT FOR REPRODUCTION